

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 170 BP/MRL/BRB
16 MAR 57

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING			
1	<i>WHL</i>	4	<i>De</i>
2	<i>WHL</i>	5	<i>Chk</i>
3	<i>Chk</i>	6	<i>cyp</i>

TO : DIRECTOR

MAR 16 1906Z 57

FROM : BERLIN

IN 19206

ACTION: EE 6

18 MAR 1957

INFO : COP, CI, CI/OPS, CI/IC, CI/OA, FI 2, FI/RI 2, FI/OPS, WE 4, S/C 2

ROUTINE
PRECEDENCE

TO FRAN

INFO HEID STUT DIR

CITE BRLN 0270

IMMEDIATE HANDLING

BEDOX PBHASSOCK

RE STUT 3726 (IN 18413)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1. PER [

] CONVERSATION 15 MAR FEEL FOLLOWING POINTS GERMANE:

A. HILDEGARD LAECHERT ALLOCATED BOB VIA PBHASSOCK 17 DEC. BOB SUBSEQUENTLY ADVISED PANEL WE HAD OPS INTEREST THIS BODY ACCORDANCE EXISTING SOPS AND INDICATED THAT SHE WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE FOR DEBRIEFING BY OTHER INTERESTED AGENCIES HOWEVER WE WOULD PROVIDE BRIEFS IF ANY AGENCY REQUESTED SAME. NO REQUEST WAS FORTHCOMING.

B. SUBJ INITIALLY TRACED WITH STUT VIA BRLN 8752 (IN 27155) AS WAS RICHARD KRAJEWSKI (SPELLED KRAJZINSKI IN REF) THUS PRIMACY KUBARK CLAIM THESE LEADS WELL ESTABLISHED VIEW EXISTING TRACE PROCEDURES. PLS NOTE ODOPAL DRAGGED FEET WITH REPLY INITIAL TRACE AND FINALLY REPLIED 6 MAR I.E. ELEVEN WEEKS LATER. UNABLE UNDERSTAND DELAY.

C. ODOPAL BRLN TRACED LAECHERT WITH BOB LATE JAN 57. WE REQUESTED THE IDENTIFY REASON FOR TRACE VIEW OUR PREVIOUS STATED OPS INTEREST. ODOPAL REPLIED BSSO BRLN HAD INITIATED QUERY BUT QUERY ORIGINALLY STEMMED FROM HAMBURG. WE ADVI:

S-E-C-R-E-T

IT IS FORBIDDEN TO MAKE A COPY OF THIS MESSAGE

Copy No.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2F
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE :

S-E-C-R-E-T

ROUTING

1		4	
2		5	
3		6	

TO :

FROM :

ACTION:

INFO :

PAGE 2

BRLN 0270 IN 19206

PRECEDENCE

ODOPAL INFORM BSSO THEY WOULD RECEIVE REPLY THROUGH OTHER CHANNELS ESTABLISHED FOR HANDLING THIS TYPE QUERY.

D. WE FORWARDED QUERY BRLN SMOTH OFFICE 26 JAN AND ASKED THEM DETERMINE WHETHER BSSO HAMBURG HAD INITIATED TRACES AT REQUEST BFV, LFV OR BSSO. SMOTH REPLY 1 FEB QUERY HAD BEEN INITIATED HANNOVER OFFICE BSSO VIEW FACT THEY HAD INTERVIEWED SUBJ HANNOVER AND WERE NOT SATISFIED DETAILS HER STORY AND SHE STATED SHE FULLY DEBRIEFED BRLN BY AMIS. THEREFORE ATTEMPTED SUBSTANTIATE HER STORY. WE FURNISHED SMOTH COPY LAECHERT DEBRIEFING RE PERSONALIA AND WARTIME EXPERIENCES 11 FEB 57. THIS REPORT OMITTS KRAJEWSKI INFO. SMOTH INFORMED WE HAD CONTINUING OPS INTEREST THIS CASE AND WOULD APPRECIATE DEBRIEFING REPORT BEING HELD WITHIN BRITISH CHANNELS ONLY.

E. ODOPAL REINSTITUTION INTEREST THIS CASE VIEW DEVELOPMENTS OUTLINED ABOVE HIGHLY IRREGULAR AND UNEXPLAINABLE, PARTICULARLY DOUBT SMOTH REQUESTED INFO LAECHERT VIA G 2 USAREUR AS INDICATED STUT 3726 (IN 18413) VIEW ESTABLISHED FACT THEY ALREADY POSSESSION DEBRIEFING REPORT.

2. FEEL CASE WARRANTS FRANK DISCUSSION SENIOR LEVEL G 2 STAFF AND SUGGEST [] MIGHT WISH DISCUSS PERSONALLY WITH GEN WILLEMS. MIGHT BE WORTH REVIEWING OPS FRAMEWORK THIS CASE FOR WILLEMS I.E. WE MOUNTED OP PRIMARILY IN ORDER GET AT KRAJEWSKI AND IF HE DEFECTS DEBRIEFING REPORTS WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE VIA CASEZONE.

S-E-C-R-E-T

IT IS FORBIDDEN TO MAKE A COPY OF THIS MESSAGE

(END OF MESSAGE)

Copy No.